arXiv:1609.06033v1 [math.FA] 20 Sep 2016

MAPPINGS OF PRESERVING n-DISTANCE ONE IN n-NORMED SPACES

XUJIAN HUANG AND DONGNI TAN*

Abstract. We give a positive answer to the Aleksandrov problem in n-normed spaces under the surjectivity assumption. Namely, we show that every surjective mapping preserving n-distance one is affine, and thus is an n-isometry. This is the first time to solve the Aleksandrov problem in n-normed spaces with only surjective assumption even in the usual case $n = 2$. Finally, when the target space is n-strictly convex, we prove that every mapping preserving two n-distances with an integer ratio is an affine n-isometry.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let X and Y be two metric spaces. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called an isometry if f satisfies

$$d_Y(f(x), f(y)) = d_X(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $d_X(,)$ and $d_Y(,)$ denote the metric in the space X and Y, respectively. For some $r > 0$, suppose that f preserves distance r, i.e., for all $x, y \in X$ with $d_X(x, y) = r$, we have $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) = r$. Then r is called a *conservative distance* for the mapping f.

In 1970, Aleksandrov [1] posed the following problem:

Problem 1.1. *Under what conditions is a mapping of a metric space X into itself preserving distance one an isometry?*

It is called the Aleksandrov problem. It has been extensively investigated by many authors (see [6, 7, 10, 16–20] and the references therein). This problem still remains open even in the case where $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}^m$ with $2 < n < m$ (see [19]).

The study of n-normed spaces began early in the second half of the twentieth century (see [8, 9, 14, 15]), and it is also an widely-studied and interesting area even today (see e.g. [4–7]). Chu et al. [7] first generalized the Aleksandrov problem to n-normed spaces. Their main result [7, Theorem 2.10] proves that the weak n-distance one preserving mapping is an n-isometries under additional conditions (e.g. n-1-Lipschitz, preserving 2-collinearity).

A natural question can be raised as a modified version of the Aleksandrov problem: What happens if two (or more) distances are preserved by a mapping between normed spaces? W. Benz [2] (see also [3]) investigated the case when the mapping preserves distances ρ and $n\rho$ for some $\rho > 0$ and some integer $n > 1$. If the target space is strictly convex, they showed

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46A03; Secondary 51K05 .

Key words and phrases. Aleksandrov problem, n-strictly convex, n-isometry, n-normed space.

∗Corresponding author.

The authors are supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11201337, 11201338, 11371201, 11301384). The first author was supported by the Tianjin Science & Technology Fund 20111001.

in [2] that this mapping is an affine isometry. If the mapping f preserves two distances with a non-integer ratio, it is an open problem whether or not f must be an isometry. For more information we refer to [16–19]. Motivated by these results and also as an application of our main results we shall show that the result of W. Benz remains valid in n-normed spaces if the target space is n-strictly convex.

In this paper, we show that every mapping between two n-normed spaces preserving a fixed nonzero weak n-distance and 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment is affine, and thus is an n-isometry. By this we show that every surjective mapping preserving n-distance one is an affine n-isometry. Finally, if the target space is n-strictly convex, we show that every mapping preserves two n-distances with an integer ratio is an affine n-isometry.

Throughout this paper, all linear spaces will be assumed real. Let $n \geq 2$, X and Y be two linear n-normed spaces whose dimensions greater than $n-1$.

In the remainder of this introduction, we will present some definitions in n-normed spaces and cite an example of n-normed spaces for the easy understanding of this kind of spaces.

An n-norm on a real vector space X (of dimension at least n) is a mapping $\|\cdot,\cdots,\cdot\| : X^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following four conditions:
(a) $\|x_1,\cdots,x_n\| = 0$ if and only if $x_1,\cdots,x_n$ are linearly dependent;
(b) $\|x_1,\cdots,x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation;
(c) $\|\alpha x_1,\cdots,x_n\| = |\alpha|\|x_1,\cdots,x_n\|$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
(d) $\|x_0+x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n\| \leq \|x_0,x_2,\cdots,x_n\| + \|x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n\|$.
The pair $(X,\|\cdot,\cdots,\cdot\|)$ is called an *n-normed space*. Note that in this space, we have $\|x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n\| = \|x_1+y,x_2,\cdots,x_n\|$ for any linear combination y of $x_2,\cdots,x_n \in X$.

Example 1.2. *If X is a normed space with dual X', then as formulated by Ẅahler* (see [9]) *we may define an n-norm on X by*

$$\|x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n\| := \sup_{f_j\in X',\ \|f_j\|\leq 1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_n(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix} = \sup_{f_j\in X',\ \|f_j\|\leq 1} \det[f_j(x_i)].$$

Meanwhile, if X is equipped with an inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, we can define the standard n-norm on X by

$$\|x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n\| := \sqrt{det[\langle x_i,x_j\rangle]},$$

which can be interpreted as the volume of the n-dimensional parallelepiped spanned by $x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n \in X$ (see [11]).

Recall some definitions in n-normed spaces.

Definition 1.3. *Let X and Y be two n-normed spaces, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping.*
(a) f is said to be an *n-isometry* if it satisfies

$$\|f(x_1)-f(y_1),\cdots,f(x_n)-f(y_n)\| = \|x_1-y_1,\cdots,x_n-y_n\|$$

for all $x_1,\cdots,x_n,y_1,\cdots,y_n \in X$. In particular, if $y_1 = \cdots = y_n$, f is said to be a *weak n-isometry.*
(b) f is said to have the *n-distance one preserving property* (n-DOPP), if

$$\|x_1-y_1,\cdots,x_n-y_n\| = 1 \Rightarrow \ \|f(x_1)-f(y_1),\cdots,f(x_n)-f(y_n)\| = 1.$$

for all $x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n \in X$. In particular, if $y_1 = \cdots = y_n$, f is said to have the *weak n-distance one preserving property*(w-n-DOPP).

(c) f is said to *preserve ρ-n-distance* for some $\rho > 0$, if $\|x_1 - y_1, \cdots, x_n - y_n\| = \rho$ implies $\|f(x_1) - f(y_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| = \rho$ for all $x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n \in X$. In particular, if $y_1 = \cdots = y_n$, f is said to *preserve w-ρ-n-distance*.

(d) f is called an *n-Lipschitz mapping* if there is a $K \geq 0$ such that

$$\|f(x_1) - f(y_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| \leq K\|x_1 - y_1, \cdots, x_n - y_n\|$$

for all $x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n \in X$. In this case, the constant K is called the n-Lipschitz constant. In particular, if $y_1 = \cdots = y_n$, f is said to be a *weak n-Lipschitz mapping*.

2. Isometry in n-normed spaces

In this section we consider the Aleksandrov problem in n-normed spaces. We first introduce a weak case of preserving 2-collinearity. Then, we prove that the Aleksandrov problem holds in n-normed spaces under weaker hypothesis.

Note that the points x, y, z of X are said to be *2-collinear* if $y - z = t(x - z)$ for some real number t. The points $x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n$ of X are said to be *n-collinear* if for some i, the points $x_j - x_i, 0 \leq j \neq i \leq n$ are linearly dependent.

Definition 2.1. *Let X and Y be two n-normed spaces, and let f be a mapping from X into Y.*

(a) f is said to preserve *2-collinearity* if $x, y, z \in X$ are collinear, then $f(x), f(y), f(z)$ are collinear. In particular, if $z = (x + y)/2$, f is said to preserve *2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment*.

(b) f is said to preserve *n-collinearity* if $x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n$ of X are n-collinear, then $f(x_0), f(x_1), \cdots, f(x_n)$ are n-collinear. That means that f preserves w-0-distance, i.e., if $\|x_1 - x_0, \cdots, x_n - x_0\| = 0$, then

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_0), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| = 0$$

for all $x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X$.

In the first step, we prove the following lemma indicating that a mapping f from an n-normed space X to an n-normed space Y, which preserves a nonzero weak n-distance and 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment, satisfies Jensen's equation:

$$f(\frac{x+y}{2}) = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let X and Y be two n-normed spaces, and let $f : X \to Y$ preserve w-ρ-n-distance for some $\rho > 0$. Then f is injective. Moreover if f preserves 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment, then $f(x) - f(0)$ is additive.*

Proof: For $x \neq y \in X$, the assumption that $\dim X \geq n$ allows the existence of $x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$\|y - x, x_2 - x, \cdots, x_n - x\| = \rho.$$

Since the mapping f preserves w-ρ-n-distance, we have

$$\|f(y) - f(x), f(x_2) - f(x), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(x)\| = \rho.$$

This implies $f(x) \neq f(y)$, and thus f is injective. To see our second conclusion, it suffices to prove that for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$f(\frac{x+y}{2}) = \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}. \tag{1}$$

To prove (1), set $z = (x+y)/2$ for distinct $x, y \in X$. Choose $y_2, y_3, \cdots, y_n \in X$ such that

$$\|y - z, y_2 - z, \cdots, y_n - z\| = \|x - z, y_2 - z, \cdots, y_n - z\| = \rho.$$

Then clearly

$$\|f(y) - f(z), f(y_2) - f(z), \cdots, f(y_n) - f(z)\| = \rho \tag{2}$$

$$\|f(x) - f(z), f(y_2) - f(z), \cdots, f(y_n) - f(z)\| = \rho. \tag{3}$$

Since f preserves 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment, there exists a real number t such that

$$f(y) - f(z) = t(f(x) - f(z)).$$

By(2) and (3), we obtain that $t = -1$, and hence

$$f(\frac{x+y}{2}) = f(z) = \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}.$$

□

One may wonder how to check that a mapping f from an n-normed space into another preserves 2-collinearity. What interests us is that it only requires f to preserve w-n-DOPP (not necessarily surjective) and be a weak n-Lipschitz mapping or preserve n-collinearity. This has been indicated in [6, Lemma 3.2] which states that every n-isometry f preserves 2-collinearity in n-normed spaces. For the convenience of readers and since the condition is weaker, we here include a proof.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X and Y be two n-normed spaces. Suppose that the mapping $f : X \to Y$ preserves w-ρ-n-distance for some $\rho > 0$. Then the following properties are equivalent:*
(a) *f preserves n-collinearity;*
(b) *f preserves 2-collinearity;*
(c) *f preserves 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment.*

Proof: For the implication $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ assume that, on the contrary, there are $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in X$ which are collinear such that $f(x_1) - f(x_0), f(x_2) - f(x_0)$ are linearly independent. Note that $x_0 \neq x_1$ and f preserves w-ρ-n-distance. We can choose $y_2, \cdots, y_n \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(x_1) - f(x_0), f(y_2) - f(x_0), \cdots, f(y_n) - f(x_0)\| \\ &= \|x_1 - x_0, y_2 - x_0, \cdots, y_n - x_0\| = \rho.\end{aligned}$$

Then the set $A := \{f(x) - f(x_0) : x \in X\}$ contains n linearly independent vectors. Hence there exist $x_3, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_0), f(x_2) - f(x_0), f(x_3) - f(x_0), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| \neq 0.$$

Assume that f preserves n-collinearity. Then $\|x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0, \cdots, x_n - x_0\| = 0$ implies that

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_0), f(x_2) - f(x_0), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| = 0.$$

which is a contradiction. Thus f preserves 2-collinearity.

The implication $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ is clear.

For the implication $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$ without loss of generality we can assume that $\rho = 1$. Then f satisfies w-n-DOPP. Let $g(x) = f(x) - f(0)$ for every $x \in X$. We first prove that g preserves distance m/k for all $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ be in X and m, k be in $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = m/k.$$

We see from Lemma 2.2 that g is $\mathbb{Q}$-linear, and since $g(0) = 0$ and satisfies w-n-DOPP, we have

$$\|g(x_1), g(x_2), \cdots, g(x_n)\| = \frac{m}{k}\|g(\frac{k}{m}x_1), g(x_2), \cdots, g(x_n)\| = \frac{m}{k}.$$

To see that g preserves n-collinearity, we only need to check that for all $x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n \in X$ which are not all zero with $\|x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = 0$,

$$\|g(x_1), g(x_2), \cdots, g(x_n)\| = 0.$$

Since $\|x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = 0$, we know that $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in X$ are linearly dependent. To simplify the notation, the maximal linearly independent members of $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ are still denoted by $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ where $1 \leq k < n$. Choose $y_{k+1}, \cdots, y_n \in X$ such that

$$\|x_1, \cdots, x_k, y_{k+1}, \cdots, y_n\| = 1.$$

Then for every positive integer m,

$$\|x_1, \cdots, x_k, x_{k+1} + \frac{1}{m}y_{k+1}, \cdots, x_n + \frac{1}{m}y_n\| = \frac{1}{m^{n-k}}$$

and by the above,

$$\|g(x_1), \cdots, g(x_k), g(x_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{m}g(y_{k+1}), \cdots, g(x_n) + \frac{1}{m}g(y_n)\| = \frac{1}{m^{n-k}}.$$

Triangle inequality hence gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|g(x_1), \cdots, g(x_k), g(x_{k+1}), \cdots, g(x_n)\| \\
&\leq \|g(x_1), \cdots, g(x_k), g(x_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{m}g(y_{k+1}), \cdots, g(x_n) + \frac{1}{m}g(y_n)\| + \frac{1}{m}A_m \\
&= \frac{1}{m^{n-k}} + \frac{1}{m}A_m,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_m \;=\; &\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \|g(x_1), \cdots, g(x_{k+i-1}), g(y_{k+i}), g(x_{k+i+1}), \cdots, g(x_n)\| + \\
&\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1-k} \|g(x_1), \cdots, g(x_{k+i-1}), g(y_{k+i}), g(y_{k+i+1}), g(x_{k+i+2}), \cdots, g(x_n)\| + \\
&\frac{1}{m^{n-k-1}}\|g(x_1), \cdots, g(x_k), g(y_{k+1}), g(y_{k+2}), \cdots, g(y_n)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \to +\infty$ we get the desired equation

$$\|g(x_1), g(x_2), \cdots, g(x_n)\| = 0.$$

□

Since it has been showed that if f preserves a fixed nonzero weak n-distance and 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment then $f(x)-f(0)$ is additive, it is natural to think of such mappings not far from being affine. It is clearly easy to prove f to be an n-isometry if it is affine. However it may not be an immediate result since continuity is not implied by preserving nonzero weak n-distance.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X and Y be two n-normed spaces. If $f : X \to Y$ preserves w-ρ-n-distance for some $\rho > 0$ and preserves 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment, then f is an affine n-isometry.*

Proof: We first prove that f is affine. For this purpose, we only need to show that the mapping $g : X \to Y$ defined by $g(x) = f(x) - f(0)$ is linear. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, the mapping g is injective, additive and preserves 2-collinearity. Let $x \in X$ with $x \neq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 1$. Since $0, x, tx$ are collinear, there exists a unique real number s such that $g(tx) = sg(x)$. We can define $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(t) = s$ i.e.,

$$g(tx) = \phi(t)g(x), \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then clearly, the mapping ϕ is injective, additive with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(1) = 1$. Moreover ϕ does not depend on the choice of x under the assumption of linear independence. Indeed, choose $y \in X$ such that x and y are linearly independent and let $\phi_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping such that

$$g(ty) = \phi_1(t)g(y), \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $0, x + y, t(x + y)$ are collinear,

$$0, g(x) + g(y), \phi(t)g(x) + \phi_1(t)g(y)$$

are collinear. Note that if $g(x)$ and $g(y)$ are linearly independent, then $\phi(t) = \phi_1(t)$, as desired. In fact, if $n > 2$, there exist $x_3, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$\|g(x), g(y), g(x_3), \cdots, g(x_n)\| = \|x, y, x_3, \cdots, x_n\| = \rho.$$

Then $g(x)$ and $g(y)$ are linearly independent. If $n = 2$, choose a real number a such that

$$\|g(x), g(ay)\| = \|x, ay\| = \rho.$$

Then $g(x)$ and $g(ay)$ are linearly independent, and thus so are $g(x)$ and $g(y)$. We will prove that ϕ is an endomorphism. For any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0, x + sy, tx + tsy$ are collinear, and then

$$0, g(x) + \phi(s)g(y), \phi(t)g(x) + \phi(ts)g(y)$$

are collinear. It follows that $\phi(st) = \phi(s)\phi(t)$ for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. It is well-known that the every nonzero endomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ is the identity. Then for any $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(tx) = tg(x)$. Thus g is linear. It is easy to see that g is an n-isometry, and hence so is f. The proof is complete. □

Remark 2.5. Proposition 2.4 has been shown in [13, Lemma 3.4]. Unfortunately the proof given in [13, Lemma 3.4] contains a mistake. The statement "$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|g(rx) - g(r_k x), g(x_2^k), g(x_3^k), \cdots, g(x_n^k)\| = 0$ (pp 978, line 11 of [13])" could not be obtained from the discussing proof in [13]. For a counterexample, consider g to be the identity, i.e., $g(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$. We may assume that r is an irrational number since the rational case is settled. For each k, choose $x_2^k, \cdots, x_n^k$ such that $\|x, x_2^k, x_3^k, \cdots, x_n^k\| = (2+[|r-r_k|])/|r-r_k|$.

Then clearly $\|x, x_2^k, x_3^k, \cdots, x_n^k\| > 1$ and $|r - r_k| \cdot \|x, x_2^k, x_3^k, \cdots, x_n^k\| = 2 + [|r - r_k|]$ is a rational number as required in [13]. However, $\|g(rx) - g(r_k x), g(x_2^k), g(x_3^k), \cdots, g(x_n^k)\| = |r - r_k| \cdot \|x, x_2^k, x_3^k, \cdots, x_n^k\| > 1$ for every k. Therefore the limit cannot be 0 as k goes to infinity. The remaining results Lemma 3.5, Theorem 3.6, Corollary 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 in [13] following from the the main lemma 3.4 need a new proof. For this and our main result (Theorem 2.6), we hence include a different proof in this paper.

We are now ready to prove our main result that gives a positive answer to the Aleksandrov problem in n-normed spaces. For a real vector space X, we denote the line joining two different points $x, y \in X$ by $\overline{xy}$ and affine(M) by the affine subspace generated by $M \subset X$, respectively.

Theorem 2.6. *Let X and Y be two n-normed spaces. If a surjective mapping $f : X \to Y$ has n-DOPP, then f is an affine n-isometry.*

Proof: In the following proof, without loss of generality we can assume that $f(0) = 0$. We first prove that f^{-1} preserves 2-collinearity. This is equivalent to showing that if $x, y, z \in X$ are not collinear then $f(x), f(y), f(z)$ are not collinear. Indeed, choose $x_3, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that $r = \|x - z, y - z, x_3 - z, \cdots, x_n - z\| \neq 0$. Set

$$u := z + \frac{(x - z) + (y - z)}{r}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\|x - z, u - z, x_3 - z, \cdots, x_n - z\| = \|y - z, u - z, x_3 - z, \cdots, x_n - z\| = 1.$$

Since f has n-DOPP,

$$\|f(x) - f(z), f(u) - f(z), f(x_3) - f(z), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(z)\| = 1 \tag{4}$$

$$\|f(y) - f(z), f(u) - f(z), f(x_3) - f(z), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(z)\| = 1. \tag{5}$$

If there exists some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) - f(z) = t(f(y) - f(z))$. By (4),(5) and since f is injective, we obtain that $t = -1$ and so $f(z) = (f(x) + f(y))/2$. Similarly, $f(x) = (f(z) + f(y))/2$. It follows that $f(x) = f(y) = f(z)$, which is impossible.

To see our conclusion, we shall show that f preserves 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment. If this does not hold, then there exist $x \neq y \in X$ with $z = (x + y)/2$ such that $f(x), f(y), f(z)$ are not collinear. Now let $w \in X$ such that

$$f(w) = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}.$$

Since f^{-1} preserves 2-collinearity, there exists a scalar t such that $y - w = t(x - w)$. We can choose $x_2, \cdots, x_n \in X$ satisfying $\|y - w, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = 1$ and $\overline{0x_2}$ intersects $\overline{xy}$ only in one point denoted by x_0. We claim that the f-image $f(\overline{0x_2})$ belongs to a line $\overline{0f(x_2)}$ in Y. Otherwise, there are $u, v \subset \overline{0x_2}$ such that $f(u), f(v), f(x_0)$ are not collinear. Set

$$E := \text{affine}(f(u), f(x_0), f(v)) \quad \text{and} \quad F := \text{affine}(f(x), f(x_0), f(y), f(z)).$$

Since f^{-1} preserves 2-collinearity, we have $f^{-1}(E) \subset \overline{0x_2}$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subset \overline{xy}$. Observe that $f(x_0) \in E \cap F$. Then $E \cap F$ contains infinity points. However,

$$f^{-1}(E \cap F) \subset f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(F) \subset \overline{0x_2} \cap \overline{xy} = \{x_0\}.$$

A contradiction since f is injective. By the claim, there are scalars s_1, s_2 such that $f(tx_2) = s_1 f(x_2)$ and $f(-tx_2) = s_2 f(x_2)$. Since f has n-DOPP, we have

$$\|f(y) - f(w), f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| = \frac{1}{2}\|f(x) - f(y), f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| = 1,$$
$$\|f(x) - f(w), f(tx_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| = \frac{1}{2}\|f(x) - f(y), s_1 f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| = 1,$$
$$\|f(x) - f(w), f(-tx_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| = \frac{1}{2}\|f(x) - f(y), s_2 f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| = 1.$$

It follows that $|s_i| = 1$. Since f is injective, the only possibility is that $s_1 = -1$ and $s_2 = 1$. Thus $t = -1$. Therefore $w = (x + y)/2$. A contradiction guarantees that f preserves 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment. Proposition 2.4 thus completes the proof. □

Next, we shall show that the result of W. Benz holds in n-strictly convex spaces.

Definition 2.7. *An n-normed space X is said to be* n-strictly convex space *if for any $x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X$, $x_2, \cdots, x_n \notin span\{x_0, x_1\}$ and $\|x_0+x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = \|x_0, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| + \|x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| > 0$ imply $x_0 = tx_1$ for some $t \geq 0$.*

Theorem 2.8. *Let X and Y be two n-normed spaces, and let Y be n-strictly convex. If $f : X \to Y$ preserves two n-distances ρ and $N\rho$ for some $\rho > 0$ and some integer $N > 1$, then f is an affine n-isometry.*

Proof: It follows from Proposition 2.4 that we need only prove that f preserves 2-collinearity for the midpoint of a segment.

(a) We first prove that f preserves 2ρ-n-distance. Assume that $N > 2$ and f preserves n-distances ρ and $N\rho$. Let $x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_n, y_1, y_2 \cdots, y_n$ be in X such that

$$\|x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, \cdots, x_n - y_n\| = 2\rho,$$

and set

$$\omega_i = y_1 + i(\frac{x_1 - y_1}{2}), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then $\omega_0 = y_1$, $\omega_2 = x_1$ and

$$\omega_i - \omega_{i-1} = \frac{x_1 - y_1}{2}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that

$$\|\omega_i - \omega_{i-1}, x_2 - y_2, \cdots, x_n - y_n\| = \rho, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and $\|\omega_N - y_1, x_2 - y_2, \cdots, x_n - y_n\| = N\rho$. Since f preserves ρ-n-distance, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1) - f(y_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| \\ \leq\ & \|f(\omega_2) - f(\omega_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| + \|f(\omega_1) - f(\omega_0), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| \\ =\ & 2\rho \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\|f(\omega_N) - f(x_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| \leq (N-2)\rho.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} N\rho &= \|f(\omega_N) - f(y_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| \\ &\leq \|f(\omega_N) - f(x_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| + \|f(x_1) - f(y_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| \\ &\leq (N-2)\rho + 2\rho = N\rho. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|f(x_1) - f(y_1), \cdots, f(x_n) - f(y_n)\| = 2\rho.$$

(b) Let $z = (x+y)/2$ for distinct $x, y \in X$. Let $g(x) = f(x) - f(0)$. Then g preserves two n-distances ρ and 2ρ. Thus, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $f(0) = 0$. We shall prove that there exist $x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$\|y - z, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = \rho$$

and

$$f(x_i) \notin \operatorname{span}\{f(y) - f(z), f(x) - f(z)\} \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \cdots, n.$$

Choose $y_2, y_3, \cdots, y_n \in X$ such that $\|y - z, y_2, \cdots, y_n\| = \rho$. We define the set C_2 to consist of all elements ν in X such that $\|y - z, \nu, y_3, \cdots, y_n\| = \rho$, that is

$$C_2 := \{\nu \in X : \|y - z, \nu, y_3, \cdots, y_n\| = \rho\}.$$

We can choose $x_2 \in C_2$ such that

$$f(x_2) \notin \operatorname{span}\{f(y) - f(z), f(x) - f(z)\}.$$

Otherwise, assume that for every $\nu \in C_2$ there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(\nu) = \alpha(f(y) - f(z)) + \beta(f(x) - f(z)). \tag{6}$$

Note that $\|y - z, \nu, y_3 \cdots, y_n\| = \rho$. It follows that

$$\|x - z, \nu, y_3, \cdots, y_n\| = \rho.$$

Then

$$\|f(y) - f(z), f(\nu), f(y_3), \cdots, f(y_n)\| = \rho, \tag{7}$$
$$\|f(x) - f(z), f(\nu), f(y_3), \cdots, f(y_n)\| = \rho, \tag{8}$$

It follows from (6), (7) and (8) that

$$|\beta|\|f(y) - f(z), f(x) - f(z), \cdots, f(y_n)\| = \rho$$
$$|\alpha|\|f(x) - f(z), f(y) - f(z), \cdots, f(y_n)\| = \rho.$$

This yields $|\alpha| = |\beta|$. Moreover, $|\alpha|$ is a fixed positive real number. Therefore, there are at most four elements in $f(C_2)$. This is impossible, because the set C_2 contains "enough" elements. This follows from Lemma 2.2 that f is injective and for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the element $\nu_r := y_2 + r(y - z)$ belongs to C_2. So there exists $x_2 \in C_2$ such that

$$f(x_2) \notin \operatorname{span}\{f(y) - f(z), f(x) - f(z)\}.$$

Next, set

$$C_3 := \{\nu \in X : \|y - z, x_2, \nu, y_4, \cdots, y_n\| = \rho\}.$$

By the same method as above, we can choose $x_3 \in C_3$ such that

$$f(x_3) \notin \text{span}\{f(y) - f(z), f(x) - f(z)\}.$$

This process can be repeated until we obtain the promised $x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that $\|y - z, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = \rho$ and

$$f(x_i) \notin \text{span}\{f(y) - f(z), f(x) - f(z)\} \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \cdots, n.$$

(c) We are now ready to show the desired result that f preserves 2-collinearity for the the midpoint of a segment. Let $z = (x + y)/2$ for distinct $x, y \in X$. Let $x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n$ be in X such that

$$\|y - z, x_2, \cdots, x_n\| = \rho$$

and

$$f(x_i) \notin \text{span}\{f(y) - f(z), f(x) - f(z)\} \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \cdots, n.$$

Then we deduce from the fact that f preserves n-distances ρ and 2ρ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(y) - f(x), f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| \\ = \ & \|f(y) - f(z), f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\| + \|f(x) - f(z), f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is n-strictly convex, there exists a real number $t > 0$ such that

$$f(y) - f(z) = t(f(z) - f(x)).$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.9. [12, Theorem 11] tried to generalize Benz's Theorem on n-normed spaces. However, on the part (d) of the proof of [12, Theorem 11] the statement that $f(p_2) - f(p_1) = t(f(p_1) - f(p_0))$ for some t cannot follow just from

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(p_2) - f(p_0), f(y_2) - f(x), \cdots, f(y_n) - f(x)\| \\ & = \|f(p_2) - f(p_1), f(y_2) - f(x), \cdots, f(y_n) - f(x)\| \\ & + \|f(p_1) - f(p_0), f(y_2) - f(x), \cdots, f(y_n) - f(x)\| = 2\rho. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to check that $f(y_i) - f(x) \notin \text{span}\{f(p_2) - f(p_0), f(p_1) - f(p_0)\}$ for $i = 2, 3, \cdots, n$ (It is the demand from the definition of n strictly convexity ([12, definition 3] or Definition 2.7 of our paper)). It is a hard and key step which cannot be missed.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to express their appreciation to Guanggui Ding for many very helpful comments regarding isometric theory in Banach spaces.

References

[1] A. D. Alekandrov, Mappings of families of sets, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 11 (1970), 116–120.
[2] W. Benz, Isometrien in normierten Räumen, *Aequationes Math.*29 (1985), 204–209.
[3] W. Benz and H.Berens, A contribution to a theorem of Ulam and Mazur, *Aequationes Math.* 34 (1987), 61–63.
[4] H. Y. Chu, On the Mazur–Ulam problem in linear 2-normed spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327 (2007), 1041–1045.

[5] H. Y. Chu, C. G. Park and W. G. Park, The Aleksandrov problem in linear 2-normed spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 289 (2004), 666–672.
[6] H. Y. Chu, S. K. Choi and D. S. Kang, Mappings of conservative distances in linear n-normed spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009), 1168–1174.
[7] H. Chu, K. Lee and C. Park, On the Aleksandrov problem in linear n-normed spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 59 (2004), 1001–1011.
[8] S. Gähler, Lineare 2-normierte Räume, *Math. Nachr.* 28 (1964), 1–43.
[9] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische Räume, *I. Math. Nachr.* 40 (1969), 165–189.
[10] Gy. P. Gehér, A contribution to the Aleksandrov conservative distance problem in two dimensions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 481(2015), 280–287.
[11] H. Gunawan, The space of p-summable sequences and its natural n-norm. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 64 (2001), 137–147.
[12] Y. Ma, The Aleksandrov-Ben-Rassias problem on linear n-normed spaces. Monatshefte für Mathematik, 2015, 1-12.
[13] Y. Ma, Isometry on linear n-normed spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 39 (2) (2014), 973–981.
[14] A. Misiak, n-inner product spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 140 (1989), 299–319.
[15] A. Misiak, Orthogonality and orthogonormality in n-inner product spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 143 (1989), 249–261.
[16] Th. M. Rassias, Mappings that preserve unit distance, *Indian J. Math.* 32 (1990), 275–278.
[17] Th. M. Rassias, Properties of isometric mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 235 (1997), 108–121.
[18] Th. M. Rassias, On the Aleksandrov problem of conservative distances and the Mazur-Ulam theorem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 47 (2001), 2597–2608.
[19] Th. M. Rassias, On the Aleksandrov problem for isometric mappings, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 1 (2007), 18–28.
[20] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, On the Mazur-Ulam problem and the Aleksandrov problem for unit distance preserving mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1993), 919–925.

Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University of Technology, 300384 Tianjin, China
E-mail address: huangxujian86@sina.cn

Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University of Technology, 300384 Tianjin, China
E-mail address: tandongni0608@sina.cn